## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,215	04/07/2005	Elias Castanas	65321(54558)	1523
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 01/30/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			LUKTON, DAVID	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
•	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/522,215	CASTANAS, ELIAS			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Lukton	1654			
Daried fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •	/ IO OET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	(e) OB THIRTY (20) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	<u>ovember 2007</u> .				
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	<i>₹</i> .				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 28-61 is/are pending in the application	٦.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
, —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28,31,34,39,51,54,60 and 61</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>29,30,32,33,35-38,40-50,52,53 and 55-59</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ate			
	rr No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Pursuant to the directives of the response filed 11/14/07, claims 28, 30, 44, 48, 50 have been amended, and claims 60-61 added. In addition, claims 31 and 51, both of which were previously cancelled (2/28/07), have now been resuscitated. (Applicants are advised that in the event that they choose to appeal the rejection of claims 31 and 51, applicants should go ahead and cancel these two claims, and add two new claims numbered 62-63; the existing rejection will then apply to those claims, and applicants may then proceed with the appeal. The point here is that, regardless of the merits of the rejection, reviving cancelled claims is improper).

Claims 28-61 are now pending.

Claims 34, 38-40, 42, 54, previously withdrawn, are now rejoined.

Claims 28, 31, 34, 39, 51, 54, 60, 61 are rejected; claims 29, 30, 32, 33, 35-38, 40-50, 52, 53, 55-59 are objected to.

♦

Claims 28, 60, 61 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 28 of copending application Serial No. 11/398022. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Both claims encompass the possibility of treating prostate cancer using a testosterone/albumin conjugate.

Art Unit 1654

Claims 31, 34, 39, 51, 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Claim 31 recites that the androgen steroid is an androgen. Applicants are requested to provide a few examples of androgen steroids which are not androgens. The same issue applies in the case of claim 51.
- Claim 34 recites that it is the composition that is detectably labeled. However, while one can have a mixture of a steroid/protein conjugate and (e.g.) a tritiated compound or a fluorescent compound, it is difficult to see how the composition itself can be labeled. Presumably what is intended is that the androgen steroid (which is covalently bonded to the protein) is detectably labeled. See also claim 54
- In claim 39, the phrase "the one more steroids" lacks antecedent basis.
- In claim 51, the phrase "the steroid" lacks antecedent basis, although it is acknowledged that antecedent basis exists for "androgen steroid".

\*

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571)272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER